UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

Delvon Jackson,

Plaintiff

v.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Small Business Administration,

Defendant

Case No. 2:24-cv-01979-JAD-NJK

Order Denying Second Motion for Reconsideration

ECF No. 9

This case was dismissed without prejudice last December because the plaintiff, Delvon Jackson, failed to pay the filing fee or apply to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) by the court-ordered deadline of November 14, 2024. Jackson objected and moved for 12 reconsideration of that dismissal order, contending that he in fact filed an IFP motion on 13 October 21, 2024, but the court ignored it. The court's docket does not reflect that 14 Jackson filed such a motion, and while Jackson attached that alleged motion to his 15 reconsideration request, it was not dated and he offered no proof that it was ever filed 16 with this court. So his motion for reconsideration was denied. Jackson has now filed a second motion for reconsideration. He attaches a filing that he claims is "clear and 18 convincing evidence that" he complied with this court's orders "not once but twice," but

19

20

¹ ECF No. 6. 21

 2 *Id.* at 8–9.

³ ECF No. 7. 22

23

1

1 the attachment isn't the missing IFP application but rather his January 15, 2025, 2 objection, which this court does not deny it received—indeed, that motion is filed at ECF 3 No. 6, and I denied that motion in an order at ECF No. 7. What that attachment doesn't 4 prove is that Jackson timely filed an IFP motion, and that was the reason that his case was dismissed. 5 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the second motion for reconsideration [ECF 6 No. 9] is DENIED. No further documents may be filed in this closed case. 9 U.S. District Judge Jennifer A. Dorsey August 12, 2025 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 ⁴ See ECF No. 9 at 6. 22

2

23